Joint Transportation Board - Parking Action Plan – Phase 9 Annex 2 – Location Summaries

Location reference	Phase 9-1
Town	Addington
Ward	Downs & Mereworth
Road / Area	A20 London Road (east of Church Road)
Requested by	TMBC parking
Plan reference:	DD-508-13 Phase 9 - Addington - A20 London Road - east of
	Church Road

### Parking Plan – Phase 9 – Location Summary

# Summary

Existing TRO does not correctly reflect restrictions and should be re-made.

### Issue

Parking restrictions introduced by Kent County Council are not correctly shown in the onstreet Traffic Regulation Order due to compilation errors in 2008. The original Order was correctly made but needs to be included in the current Order to be enforceable.

### Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 29 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	1 (100%)	0	0	1 (3.4%)

# Analysis

The response rate was very low, which indicates that there is general acceptance of the value of the existing restrictions.

# Recommendation

In light of the very low but positive consultation response, it is recommended that the proposals proceed to formal consultation.

Location reference	Phase 9-2
Town	Addington
Ward	Downs & Mereworth
Road / Area	A20 London Road (near Hernewell Farm)
Requested by	TMBC parking
Plan reference:	DD-508-14 Phase 9 - Addington - A20 London Road - near
	Hernewell Farm

# Summary

Existing TRO does not correctly reflect restrictions and should be re-made.

# lssue

Parking restrictions introduced by Kent County Council are nor correctly shown in the onstreet Traffic Regulation Order due to compilation errors in 2008. The original Order was correctly made but needs to be included in the current Order to be enforceable.

# Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 4 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 4 properties, asking residents for their views, but we received no response

# Recommendation

As there was no response, it is recommended that the proposals proceed to formal consultation.

Location reference	Phase 9-3
Town	Borough Green
Ward	Borough Green
Road / Area	Fairfield Road
Requested by	Mr Summers (11) Mrs Bance (163) (local residents)
Plan reference:	DD-508-09 Phase 9 - Borough Green - Fairfield Road

### Summary

Reduction of existing double yellow lines

#### Issue

Local resident has asked that the double yellow lines be removed from in front of her access as she does not use it, and would like her visitors to park in the road at that location.

### Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 8 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	2 (50%)	1 (25%)	1 (25%)	4 (50%)

# Analysis

The responses rate was good, and the views were pretty evenly split between those wanting the changes and those that did not.

The objector did not comment against the proposal to shorten the restrictions in principle, but wished to retain the yellow lines in front of their driveway. This could be accommodated by a minor reduction of the scope of the proposal.

The "Don't Know" was more concerned with the legality of parking in front of driveways, rather than the proposal itself.

# Recommendation

It is recommended that the proposals be reduced to a removal of the yellow lines in front of No.163's access only, and then proceed to formal consultation.

Location reference	Phase 9-4
Town	Borough Green
Ward	Borough Green
Road / Area	Quarry Hill Road
Requested by	Cllr Mike Taylor
Plan reference:	DD-508-10 Phase 9 - Borough Green - Quarry Hill Road

### Summary

Removal of parking bay

### Issue

The users of the Parish Hall have expressed difficulty when turning in or out of the Hall car park due to parking in the bay opposite.

### Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 13 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	2 (28.6%)	5 (71.4%)	0	7 (53.8%)

# Analysis

There was a good level of response to the consultation, with the majority of those who responded against the proposal, mainly citing the loss of parking facility for residents. The two supporting responses were from non-residents associated with the Parish Hall.

The users of the Parish Hall have had inconvenience due to parking by residents, but a number of residents in the area have no off-street parking facility.

Since the initial request for changes was received the entrance to the Parish Hall car park seems to have been adjusted to allow easier access. Turning left out of the access seems to be eased, and the presence of the roundabout at the end of Dark Hill Road would assist any vehicles that need to turn. The majority of the access issue with the Parish Hall is a function of the acute angle of the hall and car park to the road.

# Recommendation

In light of the consultation responses and the strong views of residents it is recommended that the proposal is abandoned.

Location reference	Phase 9-5
Town	Borough Green
Ward	Borough Green
Road / Area	High Street
Requested by	Cllr Mike Taylor
Plan reference:	DD-508-11 Phase 9 - Borough Green - High Street

# Summary

Change limited waiting bay to disabled bay

### lssue

There has been a request for better disabled parking in Borough Green High Street to allow improved access to the chemists for those with mobility issues.

### Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 33 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	4 (100%)	0	0	4 (12.1%)

# Analysis

The responses were generally in favour of the proposal, though one asked that the disabled parking bays in the High Street be time limited to allow overnight parking.

However, this can be problematic if a vehicle remains parked in a disabled parking bay, particularly at the start of a daytime restriction. There remains a parking bay on-street that is available to park in overnight, and if this is not available there is parking capacity in other on-street bays and the nearby off-street parking facilities.

# Recommendation

Location reference	Phase 9-6	
Town	Burham	
Ward	Burham & Wouldham	
Road / Area	Court Road and New Court Road (Spur road)	
Requested by	Parish Council	
Plan reference:	DD-508-08 Phase 9 - Burham - Court Road	

### Summary

New double yellow lines to prevent obstruction

### lssue

The Parish Council have passed on concerns about parking around the new junctions of the New Court Road spur road that could cause an obstruction.

# Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 8 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0	4 (50%)

# Analysis

The responses against the proposal were from residents who wished to maintain parking close outside their homes.

The proposals are intended to prevent obstructive parking around the new junctions, and have also been designed to free-up some parking at the cul-de-sac end of the road, which should reduce any displacement effect.

Whilst it is desirable to retain on-street parking where possible, the current parking could be accommodated with the area where lines are proposed to be removed, or further down Court Road where parking is normally available.

# Recommendation

Location reference	Phase 9-7
Town	East Malling
Ward	East Malling
Road / Area	The Rocks Road
Requested by	Mr Martin (local resident)
Plan reference:	DD-508-12 Phase 9 - East Malling - The Rocks Road

### Summary

New double yellow lines to prevent obstruction

### Issue

A local resident has complained that parking opposite his driveway causes problems when turning in and out of his property.

### Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 22 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	6 (54.5%)	5 (45.5%)	0	11 (50%)

# Analysis

There was a good level of response to the consultation, with a split view amongst residents. Those against the proposals were mainly stating that they did not think there was a problem, and that they value the additional parking capacity, where the others (who tended to be the properties opposite the restrictions) were in favour as they viewed parking opposite their accesses as problematic.

It should be noted that there seems to be sufficient road width to maintain on-street parking whilst vehicles turn in and out of properties, and if this movement presents difficulties to residents then they may have the opportunity to widen or adjust their access.

# Recommendation

Given the balanced nature of the responses, the view of the local Members and the Board are sought – either to proceed as proposed or to be abandoned.

Location reference	Phase 9-9
Town	Larkfield
Ward	Larkfield (South)
Road / Area	New Hythe Lane / Laburnum Drive
Requested by	TMBC member (Anita Oakley)
Plan reference:	DD-508-15 Phase 9 - Larkfield - New Hythe Lane

# Summary

Daytime parking restrictions to prevent obstruction

### lssue

Parking close to the Laburnum Drive junction of New Hythe Lane reduces traffic flow and creates congestion. This is predominantly parking by residents who have little off-street parking provision.

# Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 30 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	1 (7.7%)	12 (92.3%)	0	13 (43.3%)

# Analysis

The large majority of responses were against the proposal, mainly citing the lack of alternative parking provision, but also commenting that the removal of parking could lead to an increase of vehicle speeds.

# Recommendation

The nearby residents have made a clear statement over the potential loss of parking facility. With this in mind it is recommended that the proposal be abandoned.

It has to be considered that the Borough Council's function is to consider amenity parking issues, and matters of highway safety and congestion management fall within the remit of the County Council. Having had a definite steer from residents, and our limited grounds for considering restrictions, if there are still concerns for safety and congestion management then it would be for the Highway Authority to investigate and consider.

Location reference	Phase 9-10
Town	Snodland
Ward	Snodland (east)
Road / Area	Coombe Close
Requested by	Mrs Sayers (local resident)
Plan reference:	DD-508-16 Phase 9 - Snodland - Coombe Close

### Summary

MP commitment to address resident's access concerns

### Issue

Parking on the road and footway outside no.15 causes a problem for a resident with mobility issues.

### Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 9 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	0	5 (55.6%)

Snodland Town Council also commented on the proposals, that the Council felt that it was unnecessary to increase yellow lines in the area.

# Analysis

The responses were not as clear-cut as the figures indicate. Of the 3 in favour, two actually wanted restrictions at the junction of Saltings Road and Coombe Close.

One of the objections was from the resident who originally requested the restrictions, who now wished for them to not to be introduced, but wanted measures to prevent parking on the footways all the way along the close.

However, there is already primary legislation that is enforceable by the Police to prevent parking on footways where it causes an obstruction, and this has been communicated back to the applicant.

# Recommendation

In light of the objections and the comments from the original applicant and the Town Council, it is recommended that the proposals are abandoned.

Location reference	Phase 9-19
Town	Tonbridge
Ward	Castle
Road / Area	Dry Hill Park Road
Requested by	Clirs Branson & Baldock
Plan reference:	DD-508-17 Phase 9 - Tonbridge - Dry Hill Park Road

# Summary

Local Councillors received requests for changes to parking bays and yellow lines to improve vehicle movements and assist traffic flow

# Issue

Parking in 15 minute short-stay parking bays is unenforceable due to changes in national legislation, and the bays restrict traffic movements. The bays have been removed on a temporary basis and a permanent solution is now sought.

# Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 27 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	8 (80%)	2 (20%)	0	10 (37%)

# Analysis

The majority of the responses indicated that the temporary changes to parking arrangements had been beneficial. Of the two responses against the proposal, one was that it would put more pressure on other parking bays in the road, and the other was from Hilden Oaks School, who wanted to maintain parking bays on their side of the road to facilitate pick-up and drop-off, and suggested relocating the existing bays on the south side to the north side of the road.

The Bursar of the school also suggested that there had been an increase in vehicle speed along Dry Hill Park Road, and that delivery vehicles had problems making their deliveries.

The issue of speed outside the school is of concern, but from observation seems to be around the 30mph speed limit (though it may have been lower with the previous arrangement). This is an issue that ought to be reviewed by Kent Highways as safety outside schools is part of their remit.

Loading or unloading should not be an issue as this is allowed for large or bulky items on the double yellow lines – or the school could use their existing forecourt area.

# Recommendation

Location reference	Phase 9-11
Town	Tonbridge
Ward	Castle & Medway
Road / Area	High Street (north of Big Bridge) and west end of Lyons Crescent
Requested by	Parking Office
Plan reference:	DD-508-18 Phase 9 - Tonbridge - High Street

# Summary

Changes to parking bays, revised yellow lines and new P&D short-stay parking for shoppers.

### lssue

The existing yellow line parking restrictions to the north of Lyons Crescent do not match the markings on street and require updating. The parking bays on the west side and at the western end of Lyons Crescent could provide valuable short-stay parking, allowing better shopper access to the upper High Street.

# Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 173 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	7 (53.8%)	6 (46.2%)	0	13 (7.5%)

# Analysis

The response rate was very low, which is normally an indicator that most of those contacted are not concerned by the proposals.

One in favour suggested that the taxi bay on the east side of the High Street near 113-115 should be altered to a loading bay as businesses in the area have problems with loading.

Two objections were that there would be difficulties for loading and unloading near No.143 – however this is close to a proposed loading bay on the east side of the road.

One objection was that the proposals would encourage illegal and obstructive footway parking – however the proposals would allow stronger and more effective enforcement of this.

One objection requested that 30 minute free parking be allowed to pick up from local takeaways

There was also comment from a couple of objectors that the 2 hour limited waiting needs to be controlled to prevent the spaces being blocked, with a suggestion that there ought to be Pay & Display to improve space turnover.

One objection was that the 2 hour limit was too long, and parking should only be available for short periods.

There was general support for the other elements of the proposals if there was adequate enforcement.

# Recommendation

Location reference	Phase 9-12
Town	Tonbridge
Ward	Higham
Road / Area	Hunt Road
Requested by	Circle Homes Russet
Plan reference:	DD-508-19 Phase 9 - Tonbridge - Hunt Road

### Summary

New double yellow lines to prevent obstruction

### lssue

Residents have reported problems with people blocking access to the recently constructed Circle Homes Russet parking bays.

### Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 12 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	2 (28.6%)	5 (71.4%)	0	7 (58.3%)

#### Analysis

There was a good level of response. One objector commented that there was insufficient parking in the area and any restriction would displace parking along the road.

Another objector commented that people only park in the area of the proposed lines as they thought the bays were only for residents.

A couple of objectors suggested that yellow lines would make no difference.

One objector (who does not have a car) indicated that there may be nowhere for family to park when visiting.

One objector commented that the yellow lines would prevent her from unloading shopping (however, this is allowed on double yellow lines)

One who commented in favour of the proposals asked that the parking bays be allocated to particular residents or properties, but the parking bays are the responsibility of the local Housing Association.

One commented that the proposals are only to prevent parking outside of one resident's property, who does not like cars being parked there,

#### Recommendation

The proposals are intended to make the parking bays more accessible, so that the off-street

Joint Transportation Board - Parking Action Plan – Phase 9 Annex 2 – Location Summaries

parking can be used effectively. Therefore it is recommended that the proposals proceed to formal consultation.

Location reference	Phase 9-15
Town	Tonbridge
Ward	Judd
Road / Area	Preston Road
Requested by	Clirs Bolt & Cure
Plan reference:	DD-508-20 Phase 9 - Tonbridge - Preston Road

# Summary

New permit parking bays

### Issue

Residents have asked that permit parking restrictions be extended to cover a redundant vehicle access and to be extended at the southern end of the road to provide additional parking opportunities.

# Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 31 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	10 (100%)	0	0	10 (32.3%)

# Analysis

The responses were strongly in favour of the proposal, with no objections.

# Recommendation

Location reference	Phase 9-20
Town	Wouldham
Ward	Burham & Wouldham
Road / Area	School Lane
Requested by	Mr Fentaman (local resident)
Plan reference:	DD-508-06 Phase 9 - Wouldham - School Lane

### Summary

Remove School Keep Clear makings and reduce yellow lines

### lssue

Wouldham All Saints Primary School is relocating to a new facility in February, so the existing school restrictions would become redundant and need to be removed to provide more parking opportunities for residents.

### Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 21 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	5 (62.5%)	3 (37.5%)	0	8 (38.1%)

The Parish Council also commented on the proposals, that a section of the proposed double yellow lines to the rear of No's 218-224 High Street be omitted, and the existing double yellow lines opposite the school and up to the junction with Oldfield Drive (save the junction protection) be removed.

# Analysis

The responses were broadly in favour, but those who objected wanted the proposed double yellow lines to the rear of No's 218-228 High Street to be omitted.

Unfortunately (with regard to the Parish Council comments) the removal of the yellow lines east of the school to Oldfield Drive would allow parking on a bend, opposite an access and through a narrow section and we should not allow parking.

# Recommendation

It is recommended that the proposals be amended to delete the proposed double yellow line to the rear of 218-228 High Street, but not to reduce the double yellow lines on the southern side of the School Lane and proceed to formal consultation.

Location reference	Phase 9-21
Town	Wouldham
Ward	Burham & Wouldham
Road / Area	Knowle Road / Hall Road
Requested by	Parish Council
Plan reference:	DD-508-01 Phase 9 - Wouldham - Hall Road

### Summary

Extended yellow line restrictions to ease traffic movements

### lssue

The Parish Council and one of the bus companies have asked for restrictions to prevent obstructive parking on High Street and in Hall Road, to ease bus movements.

### Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 37 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	0	5 (13.5%)

# Analysis

The responses were mixed. One objector suggested that the proposals did not go far enough and wanted restrictions through the entirety of the High Street to ease bus movements.

The other two objectors reported that there had never been a parking issue at this location until the opening of the bridge.

# Recommendation

Location reference	Phase 9-22
Town	Wouldham
Ward	Burham & Wouldham
Road / Area	High Street (Knowle Road to Portland Road)
Requested by	Parish Council
Plan reference:	DD-508-07 Phase 9 - Wouldham - High Street

### Summary

Extended yellow line restrictions to ease traffic movements

### lssue

The Parish Council and one of the bus companies have asked for restrictions to prevent obstructive parking on High Street between Knowle Road and Portland Road, to ease bus movements.

# Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 32 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	2 (33.3%)	4 (66.7%)	0	6 (18.8%)

# Analysis

The 4 objections were mainly on the points of the loss of parking and that removing obstructive parking would encourage more rat-running and higher speeds that have come about since the new bridge was opened.

There was also comment that if the buses had problems then they should re-route.

The proposal is for a minor extension to the yellow lines to allow buses (and any other large rigid vehicle – including fire appliances) to turn at the Medway Inn junction, and still allow opposing traffic to pass.

# Recommendation

Location reference	Phase 9-23
Town	Wouldham
Ward	Burham & Wouldham
Road / Area	High Street / Ferry Lane
Requested by	Parish Council
Plan reference:	DD-508-02 Phase 9 - Wouldham - Ferry Lane and High Street

# Summary

Extended yellow line restrictions to ease traffic movements, new enforceable disabled parking bays and bus stop.

# Issue

The Parish Council and one of the bus companies have asked for restrictions to prevent obstructive parking around the Ferry Lane junction. Also there were requests for enforceable disabled parking bays and bus stops.

# Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 30 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	2 (100%)	0	0	2 (6.7%)

# Analysis

The response rate was low and in favour of the proposal

# Recommendation

Location reference	Phase 9-24
Town	Wouldham
Ward	Burham & Wouldham
Road / Area	High Street / Walter Burke Avenue
Requested by	Parish Council
Plan reference:	DD-508-03 Phase 9 - Wouldham - Walter Burke Avenue

### Summary

Reduce existing double yellow lines to allow more on-street parking

### lssue

Parking is an issue in Wouldham and there is an opportunity to reduce restrictions to allow more on-street parking.

# Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 19 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	3 (37.5%)	5 (62.5%)	0	8 (42.1%)

# Analysis

The responses in favour were welcoming the additional parking places, and the responses against were concerned about parking close to the junction and across the end of the footpath alongside No.2.

The existing bellmouth of the junction is very wide with generous radii and the shortening of the existing double yellow lines should not have a significant impact.

# Recommendation

It is recommended that the proposed shortening of the double yellow lines be reduced, to keep the footpath end clear, and the amended proposals proceed to formal consultation.

Location reference	Phase 9-25
Town	Wouldham
Ward	Burham & Wouldham
Road / Area	School Lane / Wouldham Road
Requested by	Parish Council
Plan reference:	DD-508-04 Phase 9 - Wouldham - Wouldham Road

# Summary

Extended yellow line restrictions to ease traffic movements (especially for buses) and an enforceable disabled parking bay

### Issue

The Parish Council and one of the bus companies have asked for restrictions to prevent obstructive parking on High Street and Wouldham Road, to ease bus movements, and for a new disabled parking bay.

### Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 23 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	2 (33.3%)	3 (50%)	1 (16.7%)	6 (26.1%)

# Analysis

The responses were mixed, with some ni favour and some against.

One objector commented that the proposed double yellow lines on the west side may displace parking to the east side (outside their house).

One objected that allowing parking on the west side gave an element of protection to pedestrians.

There were also comments that the proposals would reduce parking for the church.

There was also comment about rat-running through the village since the opening of the bridge.

There was also comment that the disabled bay was no longer required.

It should be noted that the proposals were specifically requested by one of the local bus companies, as parking at this location impeded their service provision.

The "Don't Know" returned the form but did not indicate any preference.

Joint Transportation Board - Parking Action Plan – Phase 9 Annex 1 – Location Summaries

# Recommendation

It is recommended that the proposals be amended, with the disabled parking bay deleted, and proceed to formal consultation.

Location reference	Phase 9-26		
Town	Wouldham		
Ward	Burham & Wouldham		
Road / Area	Knowle Road / Cornwall Crescent		
Requested by	Parish Council		
Plan reference:	eference: DD-508-05 Phase 9 - Wouldham - Knowle Road and Cornwall		
	Crescent		

# Summary

New yellow line restrictions and bus stop clearway

# Issue

The Parish council have passed on concerns about parking that could reduce visibility and restrict traffic movements around the junction of Cornwall Crescent and also around the end of the Tramway.

# Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 21<sup>st</sup> October to 12<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 47 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

	In favour	Against	Don't Know	Response rate
Properties	4 (44.4%)	4 (44.4%)	1 (11.2%)	9 (19.1%)

The Parish Council also commented, and asked for additional double yellow lines to the west of the area, to prevent parking near the traffic calming build-outs to ease bus movements.

# Analysis

The responses were evenly split, but the objections tended to relate to loss of parking facility.

One objector wanted more restrictions, because their driveway was not suitable for their needs, but they ought to apply to Kent Highways to have their dropped-kerb extended to match their off-street parking arrangement.

One objector expressed mobility issues, and is advised to contact Kent County Council for disabled "blue badge" which would ease movement and allow more flexible parking.

The "Don't Know" returned the form but did not indicate any preference.

# Recommendation

It is recommended that the proposals be extended in line with the Parish Council proposals and proceed to formal consultation.